

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,751	12/21/2001	Joel P. Dunsmore	10004016-1	3808
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429			BHAT, ADITYA S	
Intellectual Property Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2863	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/027,751 DUNSMORE ET AL Examiner Aditya S. Bhat - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	. @~
Office Action Summary Examiner Aditya S. Bhat - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional cover of the cover of	
Aditya S. Bhat The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control co	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional control of the cover sheet with the correspondence additional co	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	<u>.</u>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	ress
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	munication.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	ita ia
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nents is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 16-26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-15 and 28-32 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National S application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	itage
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-6) Other:	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/027,751

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCallister (USPN 6,507,628)

With regards to claim 1, McCallister (USPN 6,507,628) teaches a method of extending dynamic range of a test, system that has a receiver channel comprising:

compensating for an effect that compression of the receiver channel has on as magnitude response and a phase response of the receiver channel. (Col. 9, lines 53-55) (see abstract)

With regards to claim 27, McCallister (USPN 6,507,628) teaches test system having extended dynamic range comprising:

- a receiver channel; (Col. 2,lines 35-36)
- a controller that processes magnitude data and phase data generated by the receiver channel; (68,80;see figure 3) and
- a computer program stored in memory, the computer program being executed by the controller, the computer program implementing instructions that compensate for an

effect on the generated data caused by the receiver channel being compressed.(Col. 9, lines 17-18)

Although, the McCallister (USPN 6,507,628) does not appear to directly state the computer program implementing instructions that compensate for an effect on the generated data caused by the receiver channel being compressed. It does teach programming and does teach compensating for an effect on the generated data caused by the receiver channel being compressed. It would be inherent to this hardware system to use some sort of computer software in order to compensate for an effect on the generated data caused by the receiver channel being compressed. (Col. 9, lines 53-55) (see abstract)

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2-15, and 28-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 16:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 16 is the inclusion of the method steps of: characterizing a second receiver channel of the test system for a second magnitude compression response and a second phase compression response. It is this feature found in the claim, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,751 Page 5

Art Unit: 2863

In this instance applicant argues that the prior art of record does not teach a method of extending dynamic range of a test, system that has a receiver channel comprising: compensating for an effect that compression of the receiver channel has on as magnitude response and a phase response of the receiver channel. (Col. 9, lines 53-55) (see abstract) and applicant goes on to argue that the prior art of record does not teach a computer program stored in memory, the computer program being executed by the controller, the computer program implementing instructions that compensate for an effect on the generated data caused by the receiver channel being compressed. (Col. 9, lines 17-18)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baier et al (USPN 5,375,255) teaches a radio receiver comprising analog dynamic compression and digital expansion, and Apostolos (USPN 5,079,735) teaches a high dynamic range compressive receiver.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Claims 17-26 are allowed due to their dependency on claim 16.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

During patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969).

While the meaning of claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allowed. This means that the words of the claim must be given their plain meaning unless applicant has provided a clear definition in the specification. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Art Unit: 2863

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S Bhat whose telephone number is 703-308-0332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Aditya Bhat July 23 2005

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER